



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

AS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/117,795 11/10/98 SADO

M CU-1758RJS

IM62/0205

THOMAS F PETERSON
LADAS & PARRY
224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE
CHICAGO IL 60604

EXAMINER

GARRETT, D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1774

20

DATE MAILED:

02/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/117,795

Applicant(s)

Sado

Examiner

Dawn Garrett

Group Art Unit
1774



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9-13-00

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☒ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☒ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 18

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 9-13-2000 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/117,795 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
2. The preliminary amendment, paper no. 17, has been entered. Claims 1-4 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 4 recites "a releasing agent according to claim 1, wherein the composition includes from 300 to 2000 parts by weight of water per 100 parts of the other ingredients combined." Page 8 of the specification to which applicant points toward for support describes the releasant composition itself being dissolved in water. The claim recites the water amount as part of the composition whereas page 8 describes 100 parts of the composition of claim 1 diluted in 300 to 2000 parts by weight water.

Art Unit: 1774

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 recites the limitation "other ingredients". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Correction and clarification of claim 4 is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 63069897 A (English translation). Japanese patent 63069897-A describes a detergent composition comprising 5-95 % of one or more amines of mono-, di-, and triethanol amines, 0.2-50 % of one or more high boiling point solvents comprising diethylene glycol monobutyl ether and benzyl alcohol used for heavy dirt attached to hard surfaces. The taught amounts encompass and/or overlap with the ranges recited in the instant claims. The working examples taught by this Japanese describe diluting the cleaning compositions in water per instant claim 4 (page number unavailable; see

Art Unit: 1774

"Working Examples" heading). It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have produced the claimed composition as taught in the Japanese patent, since each of the claimed components are disclosed by the patent.

Conclusion

9. Should you have any questions concerning this communication, please direct them to Dawn Garrett at 703-305-0788. The examiner can be reached at this number from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm E.S.T. Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone prove unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached at 703-308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.


A facsimile center has been established for Group 1700 on the 8th floor of Crystal Plaza

3. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 am to 4:45 pm. The fax numbers for Art Unit 1700 are 703-305-3599 for official after-final faxes, and 703-305-5408 for all other official faxes. Use of the Group 1700 center will facilitate rapid delivery of materials to examiners in Art Unit 1774.

Any inquiry of a general nature, or those relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2351.


D.G.

January 25, 2001


William J. Garfield
Supervising Examiner
Art Unit 1700